FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

Nominations are now open for the President’s Awards for Community Engaged Research, which will recognize teams of campus and community representatives who have demonstrated a commitment to initiating and supporting excellence in community-campus research initiatives.¹

Developed to recognize and celebrate local (Golden Horseshoe and southwestern Ontario) community engaged research partnerships that exemplify McMaster’s definition and principles of community engagement, the award will include a $10,000 grant towards furthering a specific community engaged research project.

McMaster students, staff, faculty, or community partners are all able to nominate colleagues and partners who have developed or are leading principled community engaged research partnerships that are having an impact on their community.²

Award Details

The President’s Awards for Community Engaged Scholarship will be available to teams with a minimum of one (1) faculty member and one (1) representative of a community group who have demonstrated a commitment to initiating and supporting excellence in existing community-campus research initiatives. The definition of Community Engagement used to evaluate submissions includes:

- Valuing the expert knowledge and passion that members of the community have about their communities and issues affecting them
- Fostering ongoing collaboration between University and community partners on how to better understand and consider the issues identified as priorities by local communities
- Performing research with community members and partners for the public good within the local community.

Community representatives may be from the public, not-for-profit, private sector, or from a grassroots community group, as long as the overall initiative meets the definition of Community Engagement, defined above. Initiatives must be established and in existence for a minimum of one (1) year to be considered.

¹ Please see Appendix A for our definitions of community-engaged research.
² McMaster’s Principles of Community Engagement were co-developed with community and university partners as part of the University’s community engagement strategic planning process in 2016. See Appendix for details.
All nominations will be evaluated based upon the following criteria:

- How the partnership aligns with McMaster’s definition of community engagement (see above)
- How the partnership strives to model McMaster’s principles of community engagement
- How students have been involved in the project—building capacity for future leadership in the field
- How the partnership has supported and/or inspired change in the community(s) where the partnership is working
- How the partnership plans to use award money to further their efforts

Submission Details

The selection committee requests nominators to submit a nomination package by Friday January 29, 2021 to community@mcmaster.ca. Each submission must include the following items:

1) Nomination Submission from nominator that can come in one of three formats:
   - Written submission: 2 pages maximum
   - Video submission: 2 minutes maximum
   - Audio submission: 2 minutes maximum

Regardless of the type of submission, and considering the evaluation criteria noted above, each nominator is requested to answer the following questions:

- Who are you nominating?
- What is their project?
- When did this partnership begin?
- Where does the partnership take place?
- Why is the partnership worthy of being nominated?
- How does the partnership meet the criteria of the award?
- How will this partnership use the award money to further their efforts?

2) Faculty Member CV(s)
3) Three (3) Letters/Statements of Reference for the project/initiative for its impact on the public good. Similar to the nomination submission from the initial
nominator (see 1 above), and speaking to the evaluation criteria noted above, these statements may take either a written, video or audio form.

The achievements of award recipients will be acknowledged at a community engagement event to be held in 2021.

Please direct any inquiries to Ashley Shinde in the Office of Community Engagement at community@mcmaster.ca.

APPENDIX A

Principles of Community Engagement

The following action-oriented principles were co-developed by community and University partners to inform our community-campus partnerships:

1. **Relationships**: We can’t have community without relationships—these are the connections that build community. Any successful partnership must be built on trusting and respectful relationships guided by integrity. We realize that relationships take time to develop and thus we commit to providing opportunities to connect people across communities, sectors, and disciplines.

2. **Reciprocity**: From design, to participation, to the outcomes of a project, we strive to work together for mutual benefit.

3. **Equity**: We are conscious of the historical and structural inequities that exist in society and strive to provide access and opportunities to all residents and members of our communities.

4. **Continuity**: Acknowledging that different communities work on different timelines and schedules, we strive to consider both the short and long-term implications of our work together.

5. **Openness to Learning**: Change takes time. We are committed to continually learn from and evaluate our work together, reflecting on and sharing both our successes and failures to grow as individuals, partnerships, and communities.

6. **Commitment to Act**: We aspire to make a positive difference in our community by sharing and acting on our knowledge to contribute to the greater social good.

APPENDIX B

Community Engaged Research includes:

**Action research**: a family of research methodologies that pursue action (or change) and research (or understanding) at the same time.\(^6\) Simply put, it is “a

\(^6\) [https://icce.sfsu.edu/content/differences-between-community-based-research-community-based-participatory-research-and](https://icce.sfsu.edu/content/differences-between-community-based-research-community-based-participatory-research-and)
way of generating research about a social system while simultaneously attempting to change that system. While conventional social science aims at producing knowledge about social systems (some of which may eventually prove useful to those wishing to effect change), action research seeks both to understand and to alter the problems generated by social systems.”

For the purposes of this award, **Community-Based Research** (CBR) and **Community-Based Participatory Research** (CBPR) are equally valued. Some researchers and academics debate differences between these and related approaches, but both approaches clearly centre participation from community members affected by the research or topic of research. For our purposes we will consider them equally.

**Community-Based Research** is a collaboration between community groups and researchers for the purpose of creating new knowledge or understanding about a practical community issue in order to bring about social change and/or action. It is built from the strengths of communities and is grounded in social justice and equity. It is based on reciprocity and aims to provide mutual benefits to all partners involved. In CBR, knowledge is understood to take many forms, and how data is gathered, understood and shared is done in multiple ways to a variety of audiences. It provides opportunities for co-learning and supports ongoing collaborations among those involved. To this end, it must be “relevant, equitable, and action-oriented” (Hills & Mullett, 2000).

**Community-Based Participatory Research** focuses on social, structural, and physical environmental inequities through *active involvement of community members, organizational representatives, and researchers in all aspects of the research process*. Partners contribute their expertise to enhance understanding of a given phenomenon and integrate the knowledge gained with action to benefit the community involved.

**Program evaluation** is “the systematic assessment of the design, implementation or results of an initiative for the purposes of learning or decision-making.” To the extent that an evaluation involves the participation of program

---

10 [https://evaluationcanada.ca/what-is-evaluation](https://evaluationcanada.ca/what-is-evaluation)
users in determining definitions and/or indicators of success, and that evaluation findings are used to shape program elements and design, it can be considered to be a form of community-engaged research.

**Knowledge Mobilization** “means brokering relationships between researchers and non-academic research partners so that research and evidence can inform decisions and understanding about public policy, professional practice and other applications. Knowledge mobilization services include methods of knowledge transfer, knowledge translation and exchange, and extend them to include the co-production of knowledge. Knowledge mobilization turns research into action.”

[http://researchimpact.ca/knowledge-mobilization/#mobilization](http://researchimpact.ca/knowledge-mobilization/#mobilization)